



U.S. Department of Energy

Oakland Operations Office, Oakland, California 94612

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
University of California, Livermore, California 94551



UCRL-AR-132609

Final Site-Wide Feasibility Study for Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Site 300

Authors

L. Ferry
R. Ferry¹
W. Isherwood
R. Woodward
T. Carlsen
Z. Demir²
R. Qadir²
M. Dresen²

Contributors

H. Barnes	S. Bilir ²
T. Berry ³	N. Dagle ²
S. Chamberlain	R. Depue
W. Daily	S. Hedberg ²
V. Dibley	L. Green-Horner ²
R. Halden	K. Heyward
B. Jakub ²	J. Kelley
V. Madrid	M. Maley ²
S. Vonder Haar ²	M. Taffet
L. Berg	J. Ziagos

November 1999

¹Pentacore Resources, Salt Lake City, Utah

²Weiss Associates, Emeryville, California

³Cambria Environmental Technology, Emeryville, California




Environmental Protection Department
Environmental Restoration Division

Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract W-7405-Eng-48.

Certification

I certify that the work presented in this report was performed under my supervision. To the best of my knowledge, the data contained herein are true and accurate, and the work was performed in accordance with professional standards.



A handwritten signature of "Robert A. Ferry" in cursive script. To the right of the signature is a vertical date stamp "12/2/99" with "Date" written below it.

Robert A. Ferry
California Registered Geologist
No. 5112
License expires: July 31, 2001
California Certified Hydrogeologist
No. HG51
License expires: July 31, 2001

Final Site-Wide Feasibility Study for Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Site 300

Authors

L. Ferry
R. Ferry¹
W. Isherwood
R. Woodward
T. Carlsen
Z. Demir²
R. Qadir²
M. Dresen²

Contributors

H. Barnes	S. Bilir ²
T. Berry ³	N. Dagle ²
S. Chamberlain	R. Depue
W. Daily	S. Hedberg ²
V. Dibley	L. Green-Horner ²
R. Halden	K. Heyward
B. Jakub ²	J. Kelley
V. Madrid	M. Maley ²
S. Vonder Haar ²	M. Taffet
L. Berg	J. Ziagos

November 1999

¹Pentacore Resources, Salt Lake City, Utah

²Weiss Associates, Emeryville, California

³Cambria Environmental Technology, Emeryville, California

Environmental Protection Department
Environmental Restoration Division

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	1-1
1.1. Purpose of Report	1-1
1.2. Site Background and Summary of Remedial Investigations	1-2
1.2.1. Description of Site 300	1-2
1.2.2. Site 300 History	1-6
1.2.3. Site 300 Geology.....	1-6
1.2.4. Site 300 Hydrogeology	1-8
1.3. Nature and Extent of Contamination	1-11
1.3.1. Sources of Contamination.....	1-11
1.3.2. Nature and Extent of Contamination in Soil and Rock.....	1-12
1.3.3. Nature and Extent of Contamination in Ground Water	1-13
1.3.4. Nature and Extent of Contamination in Surface Water	1-16
1.3.5. Contaminants of Potential Concern	1-16
1.4. Contaminant Fate and Transport.....	1-19
1.5. Baseline Risk Assessment Summary	1-20
1.5.1. Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment	1-21
1.5.2. Re-evaluation of the Baseline Risk Assessment.....	1-25
1.5.3. Summary of the Baseline Ecological Assessment.....	1-27
1.6. Identification of Contaminants of Concern.....	1-31
1.7. Corrective Actions and Facility Upgrades	1-33
1.8. Summary of Operable Units and Release Sites at Site 300	1-33
1.9. References.....	1-34
2. ARARs and Remedial Action Objectives	2-1
2.1. Identification of Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements	2-1
2.2. Chemical-Specific ARARs	2-1
2.2.1. Risk-Based Requirements	2-1
2.2.2. Federal and State ARARs	2-2
2.2.3. Preliminary Remediation Levels.....	2-3
2.3. Location-Specific ARARs	2-3
2.3.1. Faults.....	2-3
2.3.2. Wilderness Areas, Wildlife Refuges, and Scenic Rivers.....	2-4
2.3.3. Floodplains and Wetlands.....	2-4
2.3.4. Historical Sites and Archaeological Findings.....	2-4

2.3.5. Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species	2-4
2.4. Action-Specific ARARs.....	2-5
2.5. Remedial Action Objectives	2-5
2.6. References.....	2-6
3. Identification and Screening of General Response Actions and Remedial Technologies	3-1
3.1. Overview and Evaluation of Screening Process	3-1
3.2. General Response Actions	3-1
3.2.1. No Further Action	3-1
3.2.2. Risk and Hazard Management.....	3-2
3.2.3. Monitored Natural Attenuation.....	3-3
3.2.4. Extraction with Ex situ Treatment	3-5
3.2.5. In situ Treatment.....	3-5
3.2.6. Containment and/or Hydraulic Control	3-6
3.2.7. Removal and Disposal	3-7
3.3. Evaluation and Screening of Remedial Technologies and Process Options.....	3-8
3.4. Innovative Technologies.....	3-8
3.5. Summary of Retained Technologies.....	3-9
4. Description of Remediation Modules	4-1
4.1. Approach and Assumptions	4-1
4.1.1. Approach	4-1
4.1.2. Assumptions	4-2
4.2. Descriptions of Remediation Modules.....	4-16
4.2.1. Remediation Modules: Building 834 OU.....	4-16
4.2.2. Remediation Modules: Landfill Pit 6 OU	4-21
4.2.3. Remediation Modules: HE Process Area OU	4-24
4.2.4. Remediation Modules: Landfill Pit 7 Complex	4-29
4.2.5. Remediation Modules: Building 850	4-37
4.2.6. Remediation Modules: Landfill Pit 2	4-42
4.2.7. Remediation Modules: Building 854 OU.....	4-45
4.2.8. Remediation Modules: Building 832 Canyon OU	4-49
4.2.9. Remediation Modules: Building 801 and Landfill Pit 8	4-56
4.2.10. Remediation Modules: Building 833 Area	4-59
4.2.11. Remediation Modules: Building 845 Firing Table and Landfill Pit 9	4-62
4.2.12. Remediation Modules: Building 851 Firing Table	4-65

5. Evaluation of Remediation Modules	5-1
5.1. Criteria and Evaluation Process	5-1
5.2. Analysis of Modules for Remediation of Soil and Ground Water for Building 834 Operable Unit (OU2)	5-1
5.2.1. Module A: No Further Action.....	5-1
5.2.2. Module B: Monitoring	5-1
5.2.3. Module C: Risk and Hazard Management.....	5-2
5.2.4. Module D: Ground Water and Soil Vapor Extraction and Treatment of VOCs, TBOS/TKEBS, and nitrate	5-2
5.2.5. Module E: Enhanced <i>In Situ</i> Bioremediation	5-3
5.3. Analysis of Modules for Remediation of Ground Water for the Landfill Pit 6 Operable Unit (OU3).....	5-3
5.3.1. Module A: No Further Action.....	5-3
5.3.2. Module B: Monitoring	5-3
5.3.3. Module C: Risk and Hazard Management.....	5-4
5.3.4. Module D: Monitored Natural Attenuation of Tritium and VOCs in Ground Water	5-4
5.3.5. Module E: Ground Water Extraction and Treatment of VOCs and perchlorate.....	5-5
5.4. Analysis of Modules for Remediation of Ground Water for the HE Process Area (OU4)	5-5
5.4.1. Module A: No Further Action.....	5-5
5.4.2. Module B: Monitoring	5-5
5.4.3. Module C: Risk and Hazard Management.....	5-6
5.4.4. Module D: Ground Water Extraction and Treatment of VOCs and Nitrate at the Leading Edge of the Building 815 TCE Plume	5-6
5.4.5. Module E: Ground Water Extraction and Treatment of VOCs, HE Compounds, Nitrate, and Perchlorate Released from Building 815 and HE Rinsewater Lagoons.....	5-7
5.4.6. Module F: Ground Water Extraction and Treatment of VOCs, Nitrate, and Perchlorate Released from the HE Burn Pit.....	5-7
5.5. Analysis of Modules for Remediation of Soil and Ground Water for the Building 850/Landfill Pits 3 and 5 Operable Unit (OU5).....	5-8
5.5.1. Module A: No Further Action.....	5-8
5.5.2. Module B: Monitoring	5-8
5.5.3. Landfill Pit 7 Complex and Building 850 Firing Table Module C: Risk and Hazard Management	5-8
5.5.4. Landfill Pit 7 Complex and Building 850 Firing Table Module D: Monitored Natural Attenuation of Tritium in Ground Water and Surface Water	5-9

5.5.5. Landfill Pit 7 Complex Module E: Ground Water Extraction and Treatment of VOCs.....	5-9
5.5.6. Building 850 Firing Table Module E, Landfill Pit 7 Complex Module F: Ground Water Extraction and Treatment of Uranium and Nitrate	5-10
5.5.7. Building 850 Firing Table Module F, Landfill Pit 7 Complex Module G: Control of Migration of Uranium-238 in Ground Water by using In Situ Reactive Permeable Barriers	5-10
5.5.8. Building 850 Firing Table Module G: Excavation of Contaminated Soil and Bedrock Underlying the Building 850 Firing Table, Removal of the Contaminated Sandpile, and Removal of Contaminated Soil Adjacent to Firing Table	5-10
5.5.9. Landfill Pit 7 Complex Module H: Waste Characterization with Contingent Monitoring or Excavation of Landfill Pits 3 and 5	5-11
5.5.10. Landfill Pit 2 Module C: Waste Characterization with Contingent Monitoring, Capping, or Excavation of Landfill Pit 2.....	5-11
5.6. Analysis of Modules for Remediation of Soil and Ground Water for the Building 854 Operable Unit (OU6)	5-12
5.6.1. Module A: No Further Action.....	5-12
5.6.2. Module B: Monitoring	5-12
5.6.3. Module C: Risk and Hazard Management.....	5-12
5.6.4. Module D: Ground Water and Soil Vapor Extraction and Treatment of VOCs and Nitrate	5-13
5.7. Analysis of Modules for Remediation of Soil and Ground Water for the Building 832 Canyon Operable Unit (OU7).....	5-13
5.7.1. Module A: No Further Action.....	5-13
5.7.2. Module B: Monitoring	5-14
5.7.3. Module C: Risk and Hazard Management.....	5-14
5.7.4. Module D: Ground Water and Soil Vapor Extraction and Treatment of VOCs, Perchlorate and Nitrate at Building 832	5-14
5.7.5. Module E: Ground Water and Soil Vapor Extraction and Treatment of VOCs, Perchlorate, and Nitrate at Building 830	5-15
5.7.6. Module F: Downgradient Ground Water Extraction Using a Siphon, with <i>Ex Situ</i> Treatment of VOCs by Iron Filings	5-15
5.8. Analysis of Modules for Remediation of Soil and Ground Water at Building 801 and Landfill Pit 8 (within OU8).....	5-16
5.8.1. Module A: No Further Action.....	5-16
5.8.2. Module B: Monitoring	5-16
5.8.3. Module C: Waste Characterization with Contingent Monitoring, Capping, or Excavation of Landfill Pit 8	5-16
5.9. Analysis of Modules for Remediation of Soil and Ground Water for the Building 833 Area (within OU8)	5-17

5.9.1. Module A: No Further Action.....	5-17
5.9.2. Module B: Monitoring	5-17
5.9.3. Module C: Risk and Hazard Management.....	5-17
5.9.4. Module D: Ground Water and Soil Vapor Extraction and Treatment of VOCs.....	5-18
5.10. Analysis of Modules for Remediation of Soil at the Building 845 Firing Table and Landfill Pit 9 (within OU8).....	5-18
5.10.1. Module A: No Further Action.....	5-18
5.10.2. Module B: Monitoring	5-18
5.10.3. Module C: Waste Characterization with Contingent Monitoring, Capping, or Excavation of Landfill Pit 9	5-19
5.11. Analysis of Modules for Remediation of Soil and Ground Water for the Building 851 Firing Table (within OU8)	5-19
5.11.1. Module A: No Further Action.....	5-19
5.11.2. Module B: Monitoring	5-19
5.11.3. Module C: Ground Water Extraction and Treatment of Uranium	5-20
5.12. Summary of Analyses of Remediation Modules	5-20
6. Description of Remedial Alternatives	6-1
6.1. Remedial Alternatives for Building 834 (OU 2).....	6-2
6.1.1. Alternative 1—No Action.....	6-2
6.1.2. Alternative 2—Monitoring, Exposure Control, Ground Water and Soil Vapor Extraction and Treatment	6-2
6.1.3. Alternative 3—Monitoring, Exposure Control and Ground Water and Soil Vapor Extraction and Treatment, and Plume Migration Control by Enhanced <i>In Situ</i> Bioremediation	6-5
6.2. Remedial Alternatives for the Pit 6 Landfill (OU 3)	6-6
6.2.1. Alternative 1—No Action.....	6-6
6.2.2. Alternative 2—Monitoring, Exposure Control, and Monitored Natural Attenuation.....	6-7
6.2.3. Alternative 3—Monitoring, Exposure Control, Monitored Natural Attenuation of Tritium in Ground Water, and Extraction and Treatment of VOCs and Perchlorate in Ground Water.....	6-10
6.3. Remedial Alternatives for the HE Process Area (OU 4)	6-11
6.3.1. Alternative 1—No Further Action	6-12
6.3.2. Alternative 2—No Further Action for VOCs and HE Compounds in Soil and Rock, Monitoring, Exposure Control, Ground Water Extraction and Treatment.....	6-12
6.4. Remedial Alternatives for Building 850/Pits 3 & 5 (OU 5)	6-17
6.4.1. Remedial Alternatives for the Pit 7 Complex Subarea, Including the Pits 3, 4, 5, and 7 Landfills	6-17

6.4.2. Remedial Alternatives for Building 850 (OU 5).....	6-27
6.4.3. Remedial Alternatives for the Pit 2 Landfill (OU 5)	6-33
6.5. Remedial Alternatives for Building 854 (OU 6).....	6-36
6.5.1. Alternative 1—No Further Action	6-37
6.5.2. Alternative 2—No Further Action for Metals, HMX, PCBs, and Tritium in Surface Soils, Monitoring, Exposure Control, Ground Water and Soil Vapor Extraction and Treatment.....	6-37
6.6. Remedial Alternatives for the Building 832 Canyon (OU 7)	6-40
6.6.1. Alternative 1—No Further Action	6-41
6.6.2. Alternative 2—No Further Action for Non-VOC Contaminants in Surface and Subsurface Soil, Monitoring, Exposure Control, Ground Water and Soil Vapor Extraction and Treatment, and Downgradient Plume Migration Control	6-41
6.7. Remedial Alternatives for the Building 801 and Pit 8 Landfill (OU 8).....	6-45
6.7.1. Alternative 1—No Further Action	6-46
6.7.2. Alternative 2—No Further Action for VOC Contaminants in Subsurface Soil and Monitoring	6-46
6.7.3. Alternative 3—No Further Action for VOC Contaminants in Subsurface Soil; Monitoring; and Waste Characterization with Contingent Monitoring, Capping, and/or Excavation of Pit 8 Landfill.....	6-48
6.8. Remedial Alternatives for the Building 833 (OU 8).....	6-49
6.8.1. Alternative 1—No Further Action	6-50
6.8.2. Alternative 2—Monitoring and Exposure Control	6-51
6.8.3. Alternative 3—Monitoring, Exposure Control, and Ground Water and Soil Vapor Extraction and Treatment	6-53
6.9. Remedial Alternatives for the Building 845 Firing Table and Pit 9 Landfill (OU 8)....	6-54
6.9.1. Alternative 1—No Further Action	6-54
6.9.2. Alternative 2—No Further Action for HMX and Uranium in Subsurface Soil/Rock and Monitoring.....	6-54
6.9.3. Alternative 3—Monitoring, No Further Action for HMX and Uranium in Subsurface Soil/Rock, and Waste Characterization with Contingent Monitoring, Capping, and/or Excavation of the Pit 9 Landfill	6-56
6.10. Remedial Alternatives for the Building 851 Firing Table (OU 8).....	6-57
6.10.1. Alternative 1—No Further Action	6-58
6.10.2. Alternative 2—No Further Action for VOCs and U-238 in Subsurface Soil/Rock and for RDX, Metals and U-238 in Surface Soil, and Monitoring.....	6-58

6.10.3. Alternative 3—No Further Action for VOCs and U-238 in Subsurface Soil/Rock, U-238, Metals and RDX in Surface Soil, Monitoring, and Ground Water Extraction and Treatment of Uranium.....	6-60
6.11. References.....	6-61
7. Detailed Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives	7-1
7.1. Criteria and Evaluation Process	7-1
7.1.1. Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment.....	7-1
7.1.2. Compliance with ARARs	7-1
7.1.3. Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence	7-1
7.1.4. Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, and Volume.....	7-2
7.1.5. Short-Term Effectiveness	7-2
7.1.6. Implementability	7-2
7.1.7. Cost	7-2
7.1.8. State Acceptance	7-2
7.1.9. Community Acceptance.....	7-2
7.2. Detailed Analysis of Remedial Alternatives.....	7-3
7.2.1. Analysis of Remedial Alternatives for the Building 834 OU	7-3
7.2.2. Comparative Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives for the Building 834 OU.....	7-6
7.2.3. Analysis of Remedial Alternatives for the Pit 6 Landfill OU.....	7-8
7.2.4. Comparative Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives for the Pit 6 Landfill OU	7-12
7.2.5. Analysis of Remedial Alternatives for the HE Process Area OU.....	7-15
7.2.6. Comparative Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives for the HE Process Area OU.....	7-17
7.2.7. Analysis of Remedial Alternatives for the Pit 7 Complex Subarea, Building 850/Pits 3 & 5 OU.....	7-19
7.2.8. Comparative Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives for the Pit 7 Complex Subarea, Building 850/Pits 3&5 OU	7-26
7.2.9. Analysis of Remedial Alternatives for the Building 850 Subarea, Building 850/Pits 3&5 OU.....	7-30
7.2.10. Comparative Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives for the Building 850 Subarea, Building 850/Pits 3 & 5 OU.....	7-36
7.2.11. Analysis of Remedial Alternatives for the Pit 2 Landfill Subarea, Building 850/Pits 3 & 5 OU.....	7-39
7.2.12. Comparative Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives for the Pit 2 Landfill, Building 850/Pits 3 & 5 OU.....	7-42
7.2.13. Analysis of Remedial Alternatives for the Building 854 OU	7-45

7.2.14. Comparative Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives for the Building 854 OU.....	7-47
7.2.15. Analysis of Remedial Alternatives for the Building 832 Canyon OU.....	7-49
7.2.16. Comparative Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives for the Building 832 Canyon OU	7-51
7.2.17. Analysis of Remedial Alternatives for Building 801 and the Pit 8 Landfill, OU 8.....	7-53
7.2.18. Comparative Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives for the Building 801 Dry Well and Pit 8 Landfill, OU 8.....	7-57
7.2.19. Analysis of Remedial Alternatives for Building 833, OU 8.....	7-60
7.2.20. Comparative Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives for Building 833, OU8.....	7-62
7.2.21. Analysis of Remedial Alternatives for the Building 845 Firing Table and Pit 9 Landfill, OU 8.....	7-65
7.2.22. Comparative Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives for the Building 845 Firing Table and Pit 9 Landfill, OU 8.....	7-68
7.2.23. Analysis of Remedial Alternatives for the Building 851 Firing Table, OU 8.....	7-71
7.2.24. Comparative Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives for the Building 851 Firing Table, OU 8.....	7-74
7.3. References.....	7-76
8. Environmental Considerations.....	8-1
8.1. References.....	8-2
Acronyms and Abbreviations	

List of Figures

- Figure 1-1. Location of LLNL Livermore Site and Site 300.
- Figure 1-2. Land use in the vicinity of Site 300.
- Figure 1-3. Locations of Operable Units at Site 300.
- Figure 1-4. Flow chart for determining inclusion of contaminant release sites in the Site-Wide Feasibility Study (SWFS).
- Figure 1-5. Schematic stratigraphy of Site 300.
- Figure 1-6. Geologic map of Site 300 area (modified from Dibblee, 1980; Raymond, 1969; and Dugan and Mateik, 1990).
- Figure 1-7. Map showing principal folds and faults of Site 300.
- Figure 1-8. Surface water drainage basins at Site 300.
- Figure 1-9. Springs and surface water body locations at Site 300.
- Figure 1-10. Stratigraphic and hydrogeologic units within operable units (OUs) at Site 300.

- Figure 1-11. Site-wide hydrogeologic cross sections A-A' and B-B'.
- Figure 1-12. Potentiometric surface elevation map of the major water-bearing units at Site 300.
- Figure 1-13. Water-supply wells at and within 0.5 mi of Site 300.
- Figure 1-14. Contaminants of concern at LLNL Site 300.
- Figure 1-15. Extent of ground water contamination at LLNL Site 300.
- Figure 1-16. Distribution of TCE in ground water in the Building 834 area, OU2.
- Figure 1-17. Distribution of nitrate in ground water in the Building 834 area, OU2.
- Figure 1-18. Distribution of TCE in ground water in the Landfill Pit 6 area, OU3.
- Figure 1-19. Distribution of tritium, nitrate, and perchlorate in ground water in the Pit 6 area, OU3.
- Figure 1-20. Distribution of TCE in the Tnbs₂ aquifer in the HE Process Area, OU4.
- Figure 1-21. Distribution of TCE in the Tps aquifer in the HE Process Area, OU4.
- Figure 1-22. Distribution of RDX in the Tnbs₂ aquifer in the HE Process Area, OU4.
- Figure 1-23. Distribution of nitrate in the Tnbs₂ aquifer in the HE Process Area, OU4.
- Figure 1-24. Distribution of perchlorate in the Tnbs₂ aquifer in the HE Process Area, OU4.
- Figure 1-25. Distribution of TCE in ground water in the HE Burn Pit portion of the HE Process Area, OU4.
- Figure 1-26. Distribution of nitrate in ground water in the HE Burn Pit portion of the HE Process Area, OU4.
- Figure 1-27. Distribution of perchlorate in ground water in the HE Burn Pit portion of the HE Process Area, OU4.
- Figure 1-28. Distribution of TCE in ground water in the Pits 3 and 5 area, OU5.
- Figure 1-29. Distribution of nitrate in ground water in the Pits 3 and 5 area, OU5.
- Figure 1-30. Distribution of perchlorate in ground water in the Pits 3 and 5 area, OU5.
- Figure 1-31. Distribution of uranium-238 in ground water in the Pits 3 and 5 area, OU5.
- Figure 1-32. Distribution of uranium-238 and nitrate in ground water in the B850 area, OU5.
- Figure 1-33. Distribution of tritium and perchlorate in ground water in the first water-bearing zone in the B850/Pits 3 & 5 area, OU5.
- Figure 1-34. Distribution of TCE in ground water in the Building 854 area, OU6.
- Figure 1-35. Distribution of nitrate and perchlorate in ground water in the Building 854 area, OU6.
- Figure 1-36. Distribution of TCE in the combined Qal, Tnbs₂ and Tnsc₁ aquifers in the Building 832 Canyon, OU7.
- Figure 1-37. Distribution of nitrate in the combined Qal, Tnbs₂ and Tnsc₁ aquifer in the Building 832 Canyon, OU7.

- Figure 1-38. Distribution of perchlorate in the combined Qal, Tnbs₂ and Tnsc₁ aquifer in the Building 832 Canyon, OU7.
- Figure 1-39. Distribution of TCE in ground water in the Building 801 and Landfill Pit 8 area, OU8.
- Figure 1-40. Distribution of nitrate in ground water in the Building 801 and Landfill Pit 8 area, OU8.
- Figure 1-41. Distribution of TCE in ground water in the Building 833 area, OU8.
- Figure 1-42. Distribution of uranium-238 in ground water in the Building 851 Firing Table area, OU8.
- Figure 1-43. Cadmium concentrations in surface soil in relation to deer sightings recorded during 1994.
- Figure 1-44. Total chlorinated VOCs in subsurface burrows as indicated using PETREX passive soil vapor survey conducted during the summer of 1994.
- Figure 4-1. Decision diagram for landfill waste characterization with contingent monitoring, capping, or excavation.
- Figure 4-2. Locations of components of the Building 834 remediation modules (OU2).
- Figure 4-3. Locations of components of the Pit 6 remediation modules (OU3).
- Figure 4-4. Locations of components of the HE Process Area remediation modules (OU4).
- Figure 4-5. Locations of components of the HE Burn Pit portion of the HE Process Area remedial modules (OU4).
- Figure 4-6. Locations of components of the Building 850 and Landfill Pit 7 Complex remediation modules (OU5).
- Figure 4-7. Locations of Site 300 soil and/or landfill waste remediation modules.
- Figure 4-8. Locations of contaminated soil to be excavated for the Landfill Pits 3 & 5 remediation modules (OU5).
- Figure 4-9. Location of contaminated soil to be removed for the Building 850 firing table remediation module (OU5).
- Figure 4-10. Locations of contaminated soil to be excavated for the Landfill Pit 2 area remediation module (OU5).
- Figure 4-11. Locations of components of the Building 854 area remediation modules (OU6).
- Figure 4-12. Locations of components of the Building 832 Canyon remediation modules (OU7).
- Figure 4-13. Building 832 Canyon iron filings treatment system using siphon wells (OU7).
- Figure 4-14. Location of contaminated soil to be excavated for the Building 801 and Landfill Pit 8 remediation modules (OU8).
- Figure 4-15. Locations of components of the Building 833 area remediation modules (OU8).

Figure 4-16. Location of contaminated soil to be excavated for the Building 845 firing table and Landfill Pit 9 remediation modules (OU8).

Figure 4-17. Location of components of the Building 851 remediation module (OU8).

Figure 6-1. Time series plot of tritium activities in ground water in the vicinity of Pits 3 & 5.

Figure 6-2. Time series plot of tritium activities in ground water in the vicinity of Building 850.

List of Tables

- Table 1-1. Contaminated releases to the subsurface at LLNL Site 300
- Table 1-2. Sites where a remedy or no further action decision has been previously agreed upon or completed as a Removal Action.
- Table 1-3. Lithologic descriptions of geologic units at Site 300.
- Table 1-4. Description of hydrogeologic units in Site 300 Operable Units.
- Table 1-5. Contaminated media in Site 300 Operable Units (Operable Units 1-7).
- Table 1-6. Contaminated media in Site 300 Operable Units (Operable Unit 8)
- Table 1-7. Estimate of area, volume, and mass of primary contaminants in the vadose zone in Site 300 OUs.
- Table 1-8. Estimate of area, volume, and mass of primary contaminants of concern in ground water in Site 300 OUs based on the most recent data.
- Table 1-9. Substances reported in surface soil after the SWRI data cutoff (December 1991) at a frequency greater than 2%, and associated cancer risk and noncancer hazard.
- Table 1-10. Substances reported in subsurface soils at all depths after the SWRI data cutoff (December 1991) at a frequency greater than 2%.
- Table 1-11. Substances reported in ground water after the SWRI data cutoff (December 1991) at a frequency greater than 2%, and associated cancer risk and noncancer hazard.
- Table 1-12. Substances reported in surface water after the SWRI data cutoff (December 1991) at a frequency greater than 2%.
- Table 1-13. Contaminants of potential concern reported in surface soil at a frequency greater than 2%, Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRGs), baseline cancer risk, and noncancer hazard.
- Table 1-14. Contaminants of potential concern (COCs) reported in subsurface soil at all depths at a frequency greater than 2%.
- Table 1-15. Volatile contaminants reported in subsurface soil at a frequency greater than 2% at a depth of 0.5 to 12 feet, pathway specific Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRGs) for inhalation, baseline cancer risk, and noncancer hazard.

- Table 1-16. Contaminants of potential concern reported in surface water at a frequency greater than 2%, Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRGs), baseline cancer risk and noncancer hazard.
- Table 1-17. Contaminants of potential concern reported in surface water at a frequency greater than 2%, Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRGs), and baseline cancer risk and noncancer hazard.
- Table 1-18. Baseline human health risk assessment summary for Site 300 (from previous reports).
- Table 1-19. List of Contaminants of Concern for which no baseline risk assessment was conducted in the SWRI (by media and OU).
- Table 1-20. Summary of PRG evaluation of baseline human health effects at Site 300 Operable Units (OUs).
- Table 1-21. Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment Summary for Site 300.
- Table 1-22. Summary of bioassay results of spring samples collected during the summer of 1994.
- Table 1-23. Screening out of contaminants of potential concern (COPCs) in ground and surface water.
- Table 1-24. Screening out of contaminants of potential concern (COPCs) in soil/rock (surface and subsurface).
- Table 1-25. Contaminants of concern in surface soil at Site 300.
- Table 1-26. Contaminants of concern in subsurface soil and rock at Site 300.
- Table 1-27. Contaminants of concern in ground water at Site 300.
- Table 1-28. Contaminants of concern in surface water at Site 300.
- Table 1-29. Summary of Site 300 Operable Units and release sites.
- Table 2-1. Potential ARARs for Site 300.
- Table 2-2. MCLs and WQOs for contaminants of concern in ground water at Site 300.
- Table 3-1. Potential general response actions to achieve remedial action objectives.
- Table 3-2. Potential general response actions and technologies by contaminant and media.
- Table 3-3. Preliminary response action screening and evaluation for ground water.
- Table 3-4. Preliminary response action screening and evaluation for the vadose zone.
- Table 3-5. Preliminary response action screening and evaluation for surface soil.
- Table 3-6. Preliminary response action screening and evaluation for surface water.
- Table 3-7. Retained general response actions and remedial technologies.
- Table 4-1. Summary of remediation modules for the LLNL Site 300 Site-Wide Feasibility Study.
- Table 4-2. Remediation modules for all contaminants of concern.
- Table 5-1. Comparative evaluation of General Response Actions and technologies.

- Table 5-2. Comparative evaluation of modules for the Building 834 Operable Unit (OU 2).
- Table 5-3. Comparative evaluation of modules for the Landfill Pit 6 Operable Unit (OU 3).
- Table 5-4. Comparative evaluation of modules for the HE Process Area Operable Unit (OU 4).
- Table 5-5. Comparative evaluation of modules for the Building 850/Pits 3 & 5 Operable Unit (OU 5).
- Table 5-6. Comparative evaluation of modules for the Building 854 Operable Unit (OU 6).
- Table 5-7. Comparative evaluation of modules for the Building 832 Canyon Operable Unit (OU 7).
- Table 5-8. Comparative evaluation of modules for the Site 300 Release Sites Operable Unit (OU 8) Building 801 and Landfill Pit 8.
- Table 5-9. Comparative evaluation of modules for the Site 300 Release Sites Operable Unit (OU 8) Building 833 Area.
- Table 5-10. Comparative evaluation of modules for the Site 300 Release Sites Operable Unit (OU 8) Building 845 Firing Table and Landfill Pit 9.
- Table 5-11. Comparative evaluation of modules for the Site 300 Release Sites Operable Unit (OU 8) Building 851 Firing Table.
- Table 6-1. Remedial Alternatives for the Site 300 Operable Units.
- Table 6-2. Documentation of landfill pit waste characterization and remediation.
- Table 6-3. General decision criteria for selection of landfill remedial approaches.
- Table 7-1. Cost for the remedial alternatives proposed for the Site 300 OUs.

List of Appendices

Appendix A. Data in Support of Risk Assessment	A-1
Appendix B. Vadose Zone Modeling	B-1
Appendix C. Remedial Technologies and Process Options.....	C-1
Appendix D. Cost Estimation	D-1

Acronyms and Abbreviations